UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

| Case NoCV 18-6223-DSF (KS) | | | Date: May 5, 2023 |
|--|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Title <u>Jay David Peppler v. Warden</u> | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Present: | The Honorable: | Karen L. Stevensor | , United States Magistrate Judge |
| Gay Roberson | | erson | N/A |
| Deputy Clerk | | lerk | Court Reporter / Recorder |
| Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: | | for Plaintiffs: | Attorneys Present for Defendants: |

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL

The Court is in receipt of Petitioner's most recent status report concerning the exhaustion of his claims in the state court. (Dkt. No. 62.) The current status report, like several before it, asks the Court to keep the pending action open and the stay and abeyance in place "until all of my 16 grounds submitted on my Writ of Habeas Corpus petition #S251893 are addressed," but fails to give a status regarding the state court proceedings. (*Id.*)

This action was filed in July 2018 and has now been stayed for nearly five years to allow Petitioner to exhaust claims in the state courts. (Dkt. Nos. 1, 6.) The Court expressly conditioned the stay in part on Petitioner filing status reports with the Court every 45 days "to advise the Court of the status of his state habeas proceeding." (Dkt. No. 6 at 2.) Petitioner's most recent status report does not comply with the Court's August 20, 2018 Order.

Moreover, as Petitioner previously informed the Court, his habeas action in the California Supreme Court (S251893) has been closed since April 1, 2020. See California Appellate Courts Case Information, available at https://appellatecases.courtinfo.ca.gov (last visited on May 4, 2023). The disposition description on the public website reads, "OSC issued, returnable in Superior Court." Petitioner has never adequately explained that development or provided a superior court case number to show that Petitioner is still litigating his unexhausted claims in the state courts. However, Petitioner's representation that he is waiting for the California Supreme Court to decide additional claims in case no. S251893 appears erroneous, as that case is closed.

//

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

| Case No. | CV 18-6223-DSF (KS) | Date: May 5, 2023 | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---|------------------|--|--|
| Title | Jay David Peppler v. Warden | | | | |
| from cust released f | curther, in an August 6, 2020 status report, Persody "due to the decision of the California Serom custody soon thereafter. (Dkt. Nos. 30, etitioner was granted relief by the state countries.) | supreme Court" and it appears Petitione 34, 35.) Petitioner has not, to date, exp | er was lained | | |
| the stay s | ased upon the foregoing, the Court ORDER should not be lifted and his pending Petitarge this Order, Petitioner must, no later that | tion dismissed for the failure to pros | = | | |
| 1. | . Respond to this Order with a proper status report indicating that Petitioner still has <i>open</i> habeas matter pending in the state courts, what the current status of that habe petition is, and providing the case number (<i>not</i> California Supreme Court case is \$251893, as that proceeding is closed); | | | | |
| 2. | 2. Explain how the pending state habeas petition furthers the goal of exhaustin Petitioner's claims for purposes of the instant federal habeas proceeding; and | | | | |
| 3. | Explain what relief Petitioner seeks in the | state courts that has not already been gr | anted. | | |
| | he failure to timely respond to this endation of dismissal for the failure to pro | | | | |
| IT | T IS SO ORDERED. | | | | |
| | | Initials of Preparer | <u>:</u> gr | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | | |